IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

TUESDAY, THE THIRD DAY OF MAY
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE GODA RAGHURAM

and
THE HON'BLE DR JUSTICE G.YETHIRAJULU

WRIT PETITION NO : 10861 of 2005

Between:

K. Sanjeeva Rao S/o. K. Narasimha Rao, Instructor of Physical

Education (Boys), Gaganmahal Grounds, Domalguda, Hyderabad.

..... PETITIONER

AND

The Director of School Education, Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad.

.....RESPONDENT

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to issue a writ order or direction more in the nature of Writ of Certiorari calling for the records relating to the order of the Hon'ble A.P. Administrative Tribunal dt. 26-4-2005 in O.A.No. 437 of 2005 and quash the same and declare the order dt. 22-1-2005 as illegal, bad and arbitrary and further direct the respondent to furnish the copies of the documents as requested by the petitioner in his representation dt. 13-12-2004 and grant some more time to the petitioners to submit his explanation**.**

Counsel for the Petitioner:MR.J.R.MANOHAR RAO

Counsel for the Respondent: GP FOR EDUCATION

This Court at the admission stage made the following:

O R D E R: (Per the Hon'ble Sri Justice Goda Raghuram)

This writ petition is directed against the order dated 26-04-2005 of the Andhra Pradesh Administrative Tribunal rejecting O.A.No.437 of 2005 preferred by the petitioner assailing the proceedings dated 22-01-2005 of the respondent whereby the petitioner's request for furnishing copies of documents mentioned therein has been declined and he has been intimated that he is permitted to peruse the documents under the supervision of the Joint Director.

The grievance of the petitioner as to the non-furnishing the documents constituting violation of the statutory rules is a grievance that could also be urged at the conclusion of the disciplinary proceedings.

This Court finds no justification for interlocutorily monitoring of the vitality of the disciplinary proceedings. The Tribunal rightly declined to

interfere. We find no error in the impugned order.

The writ petition is accordingly dismissed. However, the petitioner is at liberty to urge all the grievances set out and reiterated herein and before the Andhra Pradesh Administrative Tribunal as his defence in the disciplinary proceedings as also against any orders that may be passed to his prejudice, on conclusion of the disciplinary proceedings. No costs.

_____

(GODA RAGHURAM, J)

Date:03-05-2005

_____

(Dr. G.YETHIRAJULU, J)

ghn

To

1. The Director of School Education, Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad.
2. The Registrar, A.P.Administrative Tribunal, Hyderabad.
3. Two CCs to the Government Pleader for School Education , High Court of A.P., Hyderabad. (O.U.T.)
4. Two CD copies.